

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/654,368	09/02/2003	Jon P. St. Germain	161,700-079 4228	
34263 O'MELVENY	7590 06/12/2007 & MYERS LLP		EXAMINER	
610 NEWPOR	T CENTER DRIVE		LEE, YUN HAENG NMN	
17TH FLOOR NEWPORT B	EACH, CA 92660		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3766	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	H				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/654,368	ST. GERMAIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Yun H. Lee	3766			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 M	av 2007	•			
<u> </u>	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) Claim(s) 29,74 and 76-83 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 82 is/are withdrawn for 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 29,74,76-81 and 83 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	rom consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 September 2003</u> is/s Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
	·	•			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

Art Unit: 3766

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. Claims 29, 76 and 79-81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Milder et al. (US Pat. No. 4,902,272).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 74, 77 and 78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Milder et al. (US Pat. No. 4,902,272).

Regarding claim 74, it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

Regarding claim 77, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use carbon dioxide to inflate the first, second, and third

balloons because Applicant has not disclosed that using carbon dioxide provides and advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to use carbon dioxide to inflate the first, second, and third balloons of Milder et al.

Regarding claim 78, Milder et al. discloses the first, second, and third balloons having a volume of between 5-40 cc (col. 3 lines 9-12). Although this is a broader range than the claimed range of 10-30 cc, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to configure the first, second, and third balloons to have a volume between 10-30 cc since the volume just needs to be enough to sufficiently obstruct the aorta to achieve the purposes of cardiac assistance. The exact volume would be case-specific and dependent on the particular subject aorta size. It has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

5. Claim 83 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Milder et al. (US Pat. No. 4,902,272) in view of Karcher et al. (US Pat. No. 4,697,574). Milder et al. discloses measuring an electrocardiogram and synchronizing inflation of the balloons with the R wave of the electrocardiogram (col. 3 lines 30-31). Karcher et al. further teaches, in detail, measuring an electrocardiogram and synchronizing inflation with the R wave of the electrocardiogram, so that maximum inflation occurs at the peak of the T wave, and deflation is timed to occur just before the next QRS complex of the

Application/Control Number: 10/654,368

Art Unit: 3766

electrocardiogram (fig. 1, col. 2 line 63 – col. 3 line 2). Karcher et al. teaches that this is done so as not to interfere with the blood circulation in the aorta and also that this synchronization is very important for the hemodynamic efficiency of the system of circulatory assistance (col. 3 lines 3-9). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to configure the invention of Milder et al. to synchronize inflation with the R wave of the electrocardiogram, so that maximum inflation occurs at the peak of the T wave, and deflation is timed to occur just before the next QRS complex of the electrocardiogram.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3766

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yun H. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-2847. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 10-8.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl H. Layno can be reached on (571) 272-4949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Carl Layno

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3766

Carl N. Loyu CARLLAYNO

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ACTING SPE, AU 3766

yhl